

ESTIMATES OF NET INTERNAL MIGRATION FOR KOREA 1970-75

Tai Hwan Kwon

This is to present the estimates of net migration in Korea during the five-year intercensal period 1970-75. In estimating the volumes and rates of net migration, we have adopted the forward census survival ratio method for all, urban and rural areas of each province and each administratively defined city, by five-year age group and sex. Procedures and major assumptions involved in the calculation are described below. Similar estimates for the quinquennial periods during 1955-70 were published in *Bulletin of the Population and Development Studies Center*, Vol. IV (Kwon, 1975). The set of estimates presenting here is a 1970-75 counterpart of the previous set of estimates for 1955-70. Since the two sets of estimates are based on almost identical procedures and assumptions, hence present discussions are limited to those particularly relevant to the current estimates.

ARRANGEMENT OF DATA

In order to obtain comparability in the population figures between the censuses of 1970 and 1975, adjustment for the changes in boundary and status of the unit areas is required. During the intercensal period 1970-75, the number of cities increased from 32 to 35, and 14 out of 32 cities at the 1970 census were experienced boundary adjustment. The extensiveness of boundary and status changes during the period in all, urban and rural areas of each province, is shown in the following table.

Percentage Gain or Loss Resulting from Boundary and Status Changes During 1970-75
by Province and by Urban and Rural Areas, 1970

	Seoul	Busan	Gyeong- gi	Gang- won	Chung- bug	Chung- nam	Jeon- bug	Jeon- nam	Gyeong- bug	Gyeong- nam	Jeju	Total
All	0.24	—	-0.40	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urban	0.24	—	20.40	2.90	—	—	5.91	0.39	0.24	10.23	—	2.42
Rural	—	—	-8.12	-0.75	—	—	-2.81	-0.13	-0.11	-2.82	—	-1.69

*Calculated as $\left(\frac{1970 \text{ population within the } 1975 \text{ census boundary}}{1970 \text{ population within the } 1970 \text{ census boundary}} - 1 \right) \times 100$

In the estimation, the 1970 census data in the areas subject to boundary and status change were brought to the 1975 census boundary or status. Information on age-sex structure by quinquennial age group is available from the 1970 census report up to *Myun* level, the smallest official administrative unit. Accordingly, the estimates of population structures as well as population totals in both 1970 and 1975 were necessary for those areas which had constituted part of a *Myun* and later transferred to a newly adjusted city area. For this, it was assumed that the population of the *Myun* at the 1970 census was evenly distributed and that its age-sex structure was identical between the remaining areas in the *Myun* and the areas transferred to the city.

METHOD OF ESTIMATION

The volumes and rates of net migration were calculated by adopting the forward census survival ratio method developed by Everett Lee, except for the age group 0-4 (Lee, 1960). The net numbers of migrants in this childhood ages were obtained using the male and female child-women ratios weighted for the entire nation in consideration of the fertility patterns by age for the same intercensal period 1970-75. Since the proportion of births borne to women at ages 15-19 and above 45 was negligible, the women at these ages were excluded in calculating the child-women ratios. The following weights were assigned to each five-year age group of women between ages 20 and 44.¹

age group	weight
20-24	2
25-29	6
30-34	3
35-39	2
40-44	1

QUALITY OF THE ESTIMATES

Among various sources of errors in the estimates of net migration during 1970-75, the most important are regarded to be the assumption of closed population and the assumption of equal mortality among the units of observation.²

Previously during 1955-70, movement of Koreans beyond the national territory was very limited, and the nation can be reasonably assumed to have been closed against international migration. However, the volume of international migration of Koreans has increased significantly since 1970. During the intercensal years 1970-75, about 0.5 per cent of the total population was reported to have moved to elsewhere out of Korea. This suggests that international migration would be a factor to be considered in estimating internal migration figures based on census survival ratio method. Here, if we can assume there have been no differential rates of international migration among the units of observation by age and sex, the estimates would not be affected by international migration at all. No direct information on the pattern of international migration is available. However, it is certain that the emigrants were heavily originated from urban areas, particularly from large metropolitan centers, and this should have affected the estimates. The maximum error in this regard is expected to be less than one per cent point of the rate of net migration calculated here. However, it may exceed one per cent in a particular age-sex group.

Research findings persistently suggest an inverse relationship between the degree of urbanity and the level of mortality throughout the 1960s in Korea. Accordingly, we expect some errors in the current estimates due to the assumption of equal mortality among the observation units. Concerning the extensiveness of errors, we may have a clear insight from the comparison of two sets of migration estimates between urban and rural areas for 1965-70. The two used the same data, census populations, but they differ in the method and assumptions of estimation. One was based on the almost identical assumptions and methods with those adopted for the current estimates (Kwon, 1975). The other employed the life table survival ratio method and differing mortality rates (survival ratios) for urban and

1. For the concept and the method of calculation, see Kwon, 1975, p.57.

2. For the major assumptions involved, see Zachariah, 1962, and for the discussions concerning the validity of the assumptions for Korea, see Kwon, 1975.

rural areas respectively (Park, 1978). The difference between the two sets of the estimated net migration rates is mostly in a range of 0.5 per cent point and does not exceed 0.7 per cent point. If we divide the area of observation into a smaller unit, for example cities, the difference will certainly increase. However, it appears to be very unlikely to exceed one per cent point for any age-sex group in any observation unit adopted for the current estimates. We also have good grounds to believe that the situation has little changed between the periods 1965–70 and 1970–75.

From the above discussions, it is clear that the current estimates are subject to two major sources of errors; that is differential international migration rates and differential mortality among unit areas. Logically, however, these two sources can be combined into one forming a new category called 'differential rates of exit'. If we adopt this new concept, the assumptions of closed population and equal mortality, inherent in the census survival ratio method, can be transformed into a new assumption of equal rates of exit (by age group and sex for all units of observation). So it seems more useful to evaluate a set of the estimates for a particular area in terms of the rate of total exit (excluding those caused by internal migration) in the area rather than by examining the two components of the exit separately.

As mentioned above, the rate of net emigration has been much higher in urban areas than in rural areas and closely associated with the degree of urbanity of an area since 1970. On the other hand, the level of mortality is known to have been lower in urban areas than in rural areas and inversely related to the level of urbanity of an area during the period of estimation 1970–75. It appears almost certain that the two components caused biases in the rate of exit in the reverse direction in most unit areas, and the absolute degree of bias would be fairly similar between the two components in each unit area. As a result, the combined errors in the current estimates are expected to be much smaller than those from either of the major sources. We can also conclude from the above observation that though the assumption of closed population and that of equal mortality are both unrealistic, the assumption of equal rates of exit among unit areas still holds for the intercensal period 1970–75, and thus that the adoption of the census survival ratio method can be fairly justified.

References

- (1) Kwon, Tai Hwan, "Estimates of Net Internal Migration for Korea 1955–70", *Bulletin of the Population and Development Studies Center*, Vol. IV, 1975, pp. 54–59.
- (2) Lee, E.S. and A.S. Lee, "Internal Migration Statistics for the United States", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 55, 1960.
- (3) Park, Sang Tae, *Urbanization and Fertility in Korea*, Population and Development Studies Center, Seoul National University, Seoul, 1978, pp. 53–61 & 212–213.
- (4) Zachariah, K.C, "A Note on the Census Survival Ratio Method of Estimating Net Migration", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, Vol. 57, 1962.

Table I. Estimates of Net Internal Migration for Each Province, by Age Group and Sex, 1970-75

WHOLE AREA	SEOUL	BUSAN	GYEONG GI	GANG WON	CHUNG BUG	CHUNG NAM	JEON BUG	JEON NAM	GYEONG BUG	GYEONG NAM	JEJU	NUMBERS WHOLE COUNTRY			
0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+	TOTAL	
12009	12219	15027	4872	-940	-5556	-4544	-6837	-1661	-13147	-1302	-5576	-2928	344	0	
15405	19589	7410	-16329	-7382	-9914	-6842	-13147	-19404	-1755	-1094	-4340	-2361	-314	0	
152339	23863	41918	-10362	-16285	-30356	-1706	-13204	-9624	-8045	-1293	-16292	-2834	-285	0	
133147	17053	26194	-2610	-5468	-14452	-1455	-14602	-1459	-30837	-12931	-14139	-8510	-860	0	
137153	35728	12894	-194	-5219	-568	-568	-5692	-5692	-14629	-14629	-14629	-8510	-850	0	
217123	42064	47124	-6323	-6323	-3079	-1949	-1199	-1194	-1506	-1506	-1506	-3548	-3548	0	
4544	5045	52847	3790	-2879	-100	-556	-556	-1653	-1361	-1361	-3064	-2275	-332	0	
5054	5559	2847	5105	-1490	-267	-440	-440	-1044	-1111	-1111	-1044	-444	-112	0	
6064	65+	1753	69	-1369	-244	-506	-506	-1111	-1111	-1111	-1044	-294	-114	0	
TOTAL	308472	153492	164888	-96115	-38834	-685	-114	-767	-79587	-104987	-194716	-51154	-61536	194	0
0-4	11178	11374	13987	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-12940	-5190	225	0
5-9	12506	12565	15055	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-11178	-3278	229	0
10-14	15296	15631	19085	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-10039	-2490	229	0
15-19	14738	14738	15055	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-12980	-9208	229	0
20-24	29574	29574	30662	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-23019	229	0
25-29	32975	32975	32975	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-1533	229	0
30-34	60650	60650	60650	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-1533	229	0
35-39	9735	9735	9735	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-1533	229	0
40-44	8191	8191	34088	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-1533	229	0
45-49	5054	6753	5725	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-1533	229	0
50-59	5559	6390	2374	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-4230	-13024	-1533	229	0
60-64	65+	6470	6470	-2374	-2374	-2374	-2374	-2374	-2374	-2374	-2374	-13024	-1533	229	0
TOTAL	364132	177151	170414	-102950	-45654	-45654	-45654	-45654	-45654	-45654	-45654	-118181	-66393	1227	0
0-4	23187	23592	29015	-9406	-1816	-1816	-1816	-1816	-1816	-1816	-1816	-12901	-10765	664	0
5-9	48945	28960	31938	-27791	-9860	-9860	-9860	-9860	-9860	-9860	-9860	-21500	-6352	664	0
10-14	10530	28674	53669	-32877	-15323	-15323	-15323	-15323	-15323	-15323	-15323	-21500	-6352	664	0
15-19	29045	97747	53669	-44989	-36948	-36948	-36948	-36948	-36948	-36948	-36948	-21500	-6352	664	0
20-24	64427	37197	49392	-19316	-34411	-34411	-34411	-34411	-34411	-34411	-34411	-21500	-6352	664	0
25-29	44745	44745	29418	-32980	-5966	-5966	-5966	-5966	-5966	-5966	-5966	-21500	-6352	664	0
30-34	10056	11959	29418	-12391	-12391	-12391	-12391	-12391	-12391	-12391	-12391	-21500	-6352	664	0
35-39	12783	12783	15955	-14547	-14547	-14547	-14547	-14547	-14547	-14547	-14547	-21500	-6352	664	0
40-44	15447	15447	90217	-12019	-1661	-1661	-1661	-1661	-1661	-1661	-1661	-21500	-6352	664	0
45-49	4545	12397	5472	-17296	-17296	-17296	-17296	-17296	-17296	-17296	-17296	-21500	-6352	664	0
50-59	5559	10479	10319	-5810	-1108	-1108	-1108	-1108	-1108	-1108	-1108	-21500	-6352	664	0
60-64	60+	8224	9093	-33371	-6464	-6464	-6464	-6464	-6464	-6464	-6464	-21500	-6352	664	0
65+	65+	8747	8747	-12811	-12765	-12765	-12765	-12765	-12765	-12765	-12765	-21500	-6352	664	0
TOTAL	672604	330643	335302	-19065	-84488	-84488	-84488	-84488	-84488	-84488	-84488	-175118	-413263	1310	0

n-n INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table I (Continued)

WHOLE AREA	SEOUL	BUSAN	GYEONG GI	GANG WON	CHUNG BUG	CHUNG NAM	JEON BUG	JEON NAM	GYEONG BUG	GYEONG NAM	PER CENT RATES	
											WHOLE COUNTRY	COUNTRY
0- 4	2.8	7.6	5.9	-3.9	-10.6	-12.2	-5.2	-10.2	-1.9	-1.3	-1.0	-1.0
5- 9	1.3	1.4	1.4	2.9	-1.6	-1.9	-1.3	-1.3	-1.4	-1.4	-2.4	-1.2
10- 14	2.9	2.7	2.7	4.1	-1.3	-1.4	-1.0	-1.0	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.2
15- 19	2.5	2.5	2.7	4.0	-1.3	-1.4	-1.0	-1.0	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	-1.4
20- 24	2.5	2.5	2.3	3.6	-1.3	-1.4	-1.0	-1.0	-1.7	-1.7	-1.7	-1.5
25- 29	2.0	1.1	1.1	1.8	-1.0	-1.0	-0.6	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-0.9	-0.9
30- 34	1.8	0.8	0.8	1.8	-0.9	-0.9	-0.6	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9
35- 39	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.8	-0.6	-0.6	-0.4	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
40- 44	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	-1.1	-1.1	-0.8	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-0.9	-0.9
45- 49	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.9	-0.8	-0.8	-0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7
50- 54	5.5	5.9	5.9	5.9	-0.8	-0.8	-0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8	-0.7	-0.7
55- 59	6.0	6.4	6.4	6.8	-0.6	-0.6	-0.4	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5
60- 64	6.5	6.6	6.6	6.7	-0.6	-0.6	-0.4	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5
TOTAL	10.0	12.6	12.6	12.1	-10.1	-10.1	-8.1	-10.1	-10.1	-10.1	-9.0	-9.0
0- 4	4	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	8
5- 9	15	14	14	17	12	12	11	12	12	12	11	11
10- 14	20	24	24	27	17	17	15	17	17	17	16	16
15- 19	25	19	19	21	17	17	15	17	17	17	16	16
20- 24	30	24	24	29	20	20	17	20	20	20	19	19
25- 29	35	29	29	34	25	25	20	25	25	25	24	24
30- 34	45	46	46	46	37	37	33	37	37	37	35	35
35- 39	55	55	55	55	47	47	43	47	47	47	45	45
40- 44	60	64	64	64	51	51	47	51	51	51	49	49
45- 49	65+	65+	65+	65+	51	51	47	51	51	51	49	49
TOTAL	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
0- 4	4	8	8	8	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
5- 9	10	9	9	9	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5
10- 14	15	14	14	14	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5	30.5
15- 19	20	19	19	19	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6	38.6
20- 24	25	24	24	24	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9	45.9
25- 29	30	29	29	29	52.7	52.7	52.7	52.7	52.7	52.7	52.7	52.7
30- 34	35	34	34	34	58.3	58.3	58.3	58.3	58.3	58.3	58.3	58.3
35- 39	40	40	40	40	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4	64.4
40- 44	45	45	45	45	70.9	70.9	70.9	70.9	70.9	70.9	70.9	70.9
45- 49	50	49	49	49	75.3	75.3	75.3	75.3	75.3	75.3	75.3	75.3
50- 54	55	54	53	53	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7	77.7
55- 59	60	59	57	57	80.5	80.5	80.5	80.5	80.5	80.5	80.5	80.5
TOTAL	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8

--" INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table I (Continued)

"INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table I (Continued)

Table I (Continued)

Table I (Continued)

"—" INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table II. Net Internal Migration for Each City by Age Group and Sex, 1970-75

CITIES	IN CH'EEON	WEON CHUN	SEONG NAM	EUI JEONGBU	AN YANG	BU CHEON	HEON JU	GANG REUNG	SOG CHO	CHEONG JU	NUMBERS	5	12	
												MALE	FEMALE	SEXES
0- 4	2246	920	9116	4999	768	1700	-306	-36	-288	1024	1024	-55	-55	-55
5- 9	2616	1803	14999	-509	803	2129	-679	457	838	1924	1924	-565	-565	-565
10-14	12618	1732	12076	-306	1170	2450	-363	765	765	3095	3095	-677	-677	-677
15-19	12812	1595	12342	909	2856	1836	-1267	-1760	-902	-5063	-5063	-674	-674	-674
20-24	1239	1267	5828	178	1319	2866	-245	-415	-325	-1119	-1119	-674	-674	-674
25-29	2159	2238	10234	614	1235	1824	-245	-415	-291	-1348	-1348	-674	-674	-674
30-34	2195	1224	9034	-239	440	1478	-246	-416	-220	1032	1032	-674	-674	-674
35-39	1122	4564	5349	-168	287	1497	-91	-143	-217	1601	1601	-674	-674	-674
40-44	1031	461	4798	-161	249	1553	-143	-143	-220	289	289	-674	-674	-674
45-49	1031	461	2970	54	192	265	-143	-143	-217	1207	1207	-674	-674	-674
50-54	260	173	2349	14	192	388	26	10	77	200	200	-101	-101	-101
55-59	195	109	1481	5	151	386	96	-35	24	100	100	-94	-94	-94
60-64	502	264	1605	125	143	339	162	-32	27	145	145	-542	-542	-542
TOTAL	32921	14786	10320	695	12815	20400	745	-5451	1470	14525	14525	-	-	-
0- 4	2093	857	8485	-51	714	1582	-480	-284	33	951	951	-269	-269	-269
5- 9	2107	143	14245	-302	884	1913	-20	-617	483	1764	1764	-505	-505	-505
10-14	17924	1746	1575	-95	1417	2148	1000	-294	712	1729	1729	-674	-674	-674
15-19	6186	1396	2329	6290	5149	2313	-752	-752	210	5635	5635	-674	-674	-674
20-24	7217	1221	1221	1303	2378	3586	-892	-1522	-760	1282	1282	-674	-674	-674
25-29	3517	1161	1161	1339	2470	3566	-207	-145	-145	1318	1318	-674	-674	-674
30-34	3517	1165	9303	-351	350	1508	-338	-145	-145	927	927	-674	-674	-674
35-39	5934	7166	7166	-181	443	8192	-61	-358	255	979	979	-674	-674	-674
40-44	8614	4730	4730	-181	424	8192	106	-241	215	667	667	-294	-294	-294
45-49	7979	3760	3760	3760	33	454	63	-115	105	201	201	-129	-129	-129
50-54	789	3114	3114	90	406	554	110	-655	60	167	167	-109	-109	-109
55-59	669	2534	2534	46	394	454	94	-559	52	227	227	-102	-102	-102
60-64	737	1922	1922	62	174	3159	65	-32	8	821	821	-113	-113	-113
65+	4126	1744	1744	2505	15510	22438	1290	-4636	1768	-4848	-4848	-	-	-
TOTAL	43126	17044	101389	101389	101389	15510	-4636	-4636	1768	17834	17834	-	-	-
0- 4	4341	1777	1760	-105	1482	3282	-995	-590	69	1972	1972	-557	-557	-557
5- 9	4723	3276	2924	-811	1686	4042	-452	-1293	943	3687	3687	-1078	-1078	-1078
10-14	8241	3478	3265	-401	2587	4329	-4238	-657	1550	5516	5516	-1294	-1294	-1294
15-19	30060	5781	5655	3238	9146	43397	-43397	-1511	1976	10699	10699	-1858	-1858	-1858
20-24	9310	3484	2223	1481	1481	3684	-5422	-2159	-148	1163	1163	-1345	-1345	-1345
25-29	9310	3414	2221	18	575	3833	-6445	-1226	-188	1913	1913	-349	-349	-349
30-34	2592	4611	19541	16000	-1119	1668	3132	-757	-757	2276	2276	-479	-479	-479
35-39	4611	1357	1357	1008	-349	883	2270	-307	-307	2011	2011	-808	-808	-808
40-44	1126	96	7148	7148	-129	711	1615	-161	-161	1268	1268	-550	-550	-550
45-49	1250	5658	5658	6084	-144	665	1297	-80	-80	1687	1687	-242	-242	-242
50-54	1250	4882	4882	4882	-144	672	1056	113	113	231	231	-335	-335	-335
60-64	6322	476	3392	66	4883	842	645	119	119	147	147	-344	-344	-344
65+	2226	816	4366	265	4366	956	455	161	161	105	105	-377	-377	-377
TOTAL	76040	31831	213709	3200	213709	42838	42838	42838	42838	32388	32388	-9391	-9391	-9391

"-" INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table II (Continued)

Table II (Continued)

CITIES	CHUNG JU	DAE JEON	CHEON AN	JEON JU	GUN SAN	GWANG JU	MOG PO	YEO SU	SUN CHEON	DAE GU	NUMBERS
0- 4	374	86	424	175	441	-846	-370	170	-67	-423	
5- 9	560	3169	471	1694	848	448	96	204	820	3306	
10-14	1046	531	670	1754	158	882	761	192	1412	13925	
15-19	605	10511	864	4704	1742	17315	1248	2531	32727	32727	
20-24	-445	-2353	-253	-4698	-128	-265	-5008	-969	-898	-9268	
25-29	713	214	282	282	1035	-332	-1065	2395	-289	3712	
30-34	210	284	315	315	651	375	-174	595	-125	3170	
35-39	260	254	204	37	692	220	194	252	119	3365	
40-44	18	110	12	17	69	44	450	240	54	1207	
45-49	15	77	-102	-102	69	32	-228	-31	-121	332	
50-54	55	23	-114	-114	16	-82	-392	-124	-127	3	
55-59	15	-23	-10	-10	-19	-16	-158	-200	-121	-17	
60-64	65+	52	-19	-19	-20	-79	-159	-179	-32	-133	
TOTAL	3696	17669	3672	6941	6366	1039	16783	-6007	-373	565	
									3673	46471	
0- 4	348	80	395	162	410	76	-787	-344	159	-62	
5- 9	480	2489	534	1369	645	429	536	180	818	2823	
10-14	670	4206	595	2832	1115	679	7348	648	227	11310	
15-19	436	436	11364	2300	2457	1180	12140	155	1285	30472	
20-24	1519	260	260	31304	-1	716	-584	-265	-339	32292	
25-29	325	325	325	643	222	893	-1665	-1665	-120	-3071	
30-34	355	355	355	651	514	204	182	64	516	-695	
35-39	355	355	355	783	314	130	227	1016	80	2552	
40-44	125	125	125	741	97	197	6	212	-64	1026	
45-49	455	455	455	442	83	78	35	-189	-287	-75	
50-54	555	555	555	426	45	53	-42	131	-287	-11	
55-59	605	605	605	260	22	195	136	189	-63	-852	
60-64	65+	65+	65+	426	6	195	-129	646	-108	603	
TOTAL	4034	21113	6221	9048	194	182	103	1251	143	1911	
					7188	2364	20964	-3730	869	2815	
0- 4	722	167	819	337	85	157	-1633	-785	329	-816	
5- 9	1020	5639	1005	3063	1493	877	-11282	1282	1637	6128	
10-14	1042	5637	1265	6586	2474	1484	16170	1405	2627	25235	
15-19	1042	21875	3164	8008	4198	3050	29455	-1308	3816	63199	
20-24	-172	-2448	-390	-6432	587	-2937	-18355	-7568	-1604	-6976	
25-29	135	-122	913	-46	1875	-135	-4512	-1049	-409	-409	
30-34	135	-321	917	1165	858	657	823	-4432	691	240	
35-39	355	594	621	6067	629	362	421	1268	326	125	
40-44	405	405	405	386	578	1885	417	1339	-465	535	
45-49	445	445	445	562	562	95	104	125	-133	1358	
50-54	505	505	505	148	148	128	80	-127	-86	806	
55-59	555	555	555	184	184	12	152	31	-138	835	
60-64	605	605	605	421	225	49	-103	636	-149	477	
TOTAL	8031	29382	1278	1278	742	742	15989	3404	117	213	
									37747	98882	

"- " INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table II (Continued)

CITIES	CHUNG JU	DAE JEON	CHEON AN	JEON JU	GUN SAN	MALE	PER CENT RATES		
							YEO SU	SUN CHEON	DAE GU
5-6	5-6	0-3	7-0	0-8	4-1	-2-7	1-8	-1-0	-0-5
10-14	13-6	10-17	10-6	17-9	12-4	0-7	1-3	-1-4	-0-3
15-19	18-4	15-8	13-0	19-9	17-3	0-4	2-3	1-7	1-2
20-24	20-9	19-5	16-0	21-3	15-7	0-7	1-7	1-3	1-4
25-29	20-0	18-3	14-1	18-7	17-8	0-7	1-2	1-6	1-6
30-34	20-0	18-1	14-2	18-5	16-9	0-7	1-2	1-6	1-6
35-39	19-0	17-1	12-1	18-4	15-7	0-7	1-2	1-6	1-6
40-44	19-0	17-1	12-1	18-4	15-7	0-7	1-2	1-6	1-6
45-49	19-0	17-1	12-1	18-4	15-7	0-7	1-2	1-6	1-6
50-54	50-59	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
55-59	55-59	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
60-64	60-64	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
65+	65+	12-0	1-1	12-0	12-0	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
TOTAL	17-0	17-0	17-0	17-0	17-0	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
0-4	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
5-9	9-0	9-0	9-0	9-0	9-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
10-14	14-5	14-5	14-5	14-5	14-5	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
15-19	19-0	19-0	19-0	19-0	19-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
20-24	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
25-29	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
30-34	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
35-39	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
40-44	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
45-49	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
50-54	50-54	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
55-59	55-59	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
60-64	60-64	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
65+	65+	12-0	1-1	12-0	12-0	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
TOTAL	17-0	17-0	17-0	17-0	17-0	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
0-4	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6	5-6	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
5-9	9-0	9-0	9-0	9-0	9-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
10-14	14-5	14-5	14-5	14-5	14-5	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
15-19	19-0	19-0	19-0	19-0	19-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
20-24	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
25-29	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
30-34	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
35-39	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
40-44	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
45-49	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	20-0	0-3	0-3	0-3	0-3
50-54	50-54	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
55-59	55-59	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
60-64	60-64	10-0	1-1	10-5	10-6	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
65+	65+	12-0	1-1	12-0	12-0	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6
TOTAL	17-0	17-0	17-0	17-0	17-0	0-6	1-2	1-6	1-6

"--" INDICATES NET LOSS.

ble II (Continued)

CITIES	PO HANG	GYEONG JU	GIM CHEON	AN DONG	MA SAN	MALE	JIN JU	CHUNG MU	JIN HAE	SAM CHEONPO	UL SAN	NUMBERS 5	JEJU 6
0- 4	2105	351	-11	228	29530	16441	183	-288	-159	3829	3124	655	
5- 9	2140	8705	50	939	5030	1988	362	-1522	-159	1678	1678	769	
10-14	2171	298	1637	2951	91929	184	-92	-651	-666	4296	4296	1077	
15-19	2820	416	-1291	-1476	-2237	-488	-153	-153	-153	3911	3911	1809	
20-24	4176	-1203	-129	-330	52650	-4230	-321	-284	-284	9090	9090	882	
25-29	4204	-1212	-99	-413	52553	59101	4337	-58	-58	4524	4524	485	
30-34	4257	-4122	-63	-371	2271	1679	158	-24	-24	2024	2024	336	
35-39	4279	-4122	-85	259	1473	170	-686	-2	-2	583	583	155	
40-44	4307	-29	-29	62	851	-14	-246	-28	-28	362	362	124	
45-49	4307	-50	103	441	-40	9	-147	-32	-32	257	257	155	
50-54	4368	-24	50	264	-28	-57	-57	-32	-32	126	126	34	
55-59	4369	-51	56	125	-28	-27	-12	-17	-17	132	132	54	
60-64	4369	-512	52	123	-28	-12	-12	-17	-17	132	132	54	
65+	4362	-512	53	123	-28	-12	-12	-17	-17	132	132	54	
TOTAL	20862	3702	-851	5395	39525	7145	-4960	-1128	-1128	34047	34047	5611	
0- 4	1963	327	-10	212	2752	320	171	-268	-114	3564	2847	610	
5- 9	2282	795	52	204	894	4590	1486	-1302	-1302	1589	2208	497	
10-14	2134	-172	-172	435	1476	52659	1567	-866	-866	25847	25847	880	
15-19	2155	-1525	-1525	-304	-304	22919	15664	-415	-415	-281	-281	1311	
20-24	2167	-2167	-62	-324	-324	538	142	221	221	7049	7049	483	
25-29	2177	-469	469	-386	-386	3316	1558	-846	-846	2570	2570	1125	
30-34	2180	-52	52	257	257	2174	481	188	188	929	929	1563	
35-39	2188	-88	88	349	349	1669	468	-435	-435	501	501	394	
40-44	2194	-48	48	338	338	1281	274	37	37	293	293	1293	
45-49	2197	-48	48	143	143	830	844	19	19	33	33	152	
50-54	2201	-55	55	143	143	594	844	-24	-24	311	311	152	
55-59	2201	-55	55	105	105	592	844	-35	-35	347	347	138	
60-64	2201	-37	159	401	401	113	-22	-22	-22	270	270	98	
65+	2201	-37	159	565	565	195	-29	-29	-29	453	453	413	
TOTAL	17037	3744	-331	4525	55494	7662	1622	-4571	-4571	-738	-738	7108	
0- 4	4072	677	-22	439	5708	664	354	-556	-556	7393	7393	1265	
5- 9	44205	1294	102	1540	9620	3127	716	-272	-272	5971	5971	1265	
10-14	44345	1244	286	12531	10998	3555	467	-1784	-1784	3266	3266	1957	
15-19	44550	-507	-1725	-3775	1115	6755	-329	-1065	-1065	6504	6504	3120	
20-24	44550	-129	-172	-172	-1780	11194	-775	-36	-36	9759	9759	3199	
25-29	44848	-348	885	107	8576	10770	620	1618	1618	16139	16139	1605	
30-34	44848	-348	885	107	670	5427	625	-263	-263	1048	1048	1048	
35-39	44848	-348	885	107	117	3940	1048	-149	-149	29594	29594	730	
40-44	44848	-348	885	107	117	749	2754	442	442	1084	1084	448	
45-49	44848	-348	885	107	117	89	1681	8	8	798	798	277	
50-54	44848	-348	885	107	105	1035	141	44	44	568	568	207	
55-59	44848	-348	885	107	61	856	-61	-90	-90	474	474	172	
60-64	44848	-348	885	107	215	526	-86	-49	-49	402	402	152	
65+	44848	-348	885	107	118	538	162	-116	-116	-45	-45	-166	
TOTAL	37899	7446	-1182	9920	95019	14807	3014	-9531	-9531	62971	62971	12719	

"--" INDICATES NET LOSS.

Table II (Continued)

"--" INDICATES NET LOSS.

韓國의 純 國內移動 推定, 1970-75

權 泰 煥

1970-75년의 쎈서스 期間동안의 한국의 純國內移動의 量과 率을 推定함에 있어서 우리는 行政的인 市와 各道內의 市로 構成된 都市地域과 이를 除外한 農村地域을 單位地域으로 使用하였다. 本推定에 使用된 方法은 「前進 쎈서스 生殘率 方法」으로서 0-4세 移動人口 推定을 除外하고는 本「人口 및 發展問題 研究所 會報」第4輯에 실린 1955-70년 사이의 純國內移動人口推定方法과 同一하다. 0-4세의 경우에는 1955-70년의 추정때 주어진 경우와는 다른 加重值를 사용하여 移動人口를 推定하였다.

本 推定을 위해서는 우선 준비작업으로서 1970년 쎈서스 資料와 1975년 쎈서스資料 사이의 比較性의 確保가 필요하였다. 1970-75년 쎈서스기간 사이에 우리나라에는 총 32개중 14개 市의 地域이 再調整되었고, 또한 새로이 3개의 邑이 市로 升格하였다. 이와 같은 광범한 地域再調整과 地域地位의 變動으로 인한 推定單位地域의 比較性 상실문제는 1970년 쎈서스의 地域을 1975년의 것에 맞추어 조정함으로서 해결하였다.

本 推定의 質은 주로 單位地域間의 死亡水準의 차이와 海外移動率의 영향을 어느 정도 받는 것으로 보인다. 그러나 다행히 이 두가지 主要 오류의 要因은, 한 單位地域을 中心으로 볼 때, 서로 反對의 方向으로 作用하고 있어, 이 두 要因을 合하여 영향을 측정하면 오류의 정도는 극히 미미할 것으로 보인다. 즉 本 推定은 상당히 높은 신뢰도를 보일 것으로 판단된다.